

Determinant Test for Positive and Negative Definite Matrices

THEOREM. Let A be an $n \times n$ symmetric real matrix and let D_k be the determinant of the matrix obtained from A by deleting the last $n - k$ rows and columns of A . Then

- 1) A is positive definite if and only if D_1, \dots, D_n are strictly positive; and
- 2) A is negative definite if and only if D_1, \dots, D_n alternate in sign.

PROOF 1). We prove this by induction. The case $n = 1$ is easily verified.

Now assume that the result is true for $n \times n$ matrices. Assume that A is an $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$ matrix and assume that the determinants D_1, \dots, D_{n+1} of the principal minors of A are strictly positive. Then the matrix B obtained from A by deleting the last row and column of A is positive definite. There is an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors of B , i.e., an orthonormal basis $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$ of \mathfrak{R}^n and strictly positive numbers $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ such that

$$B\mathbf{x}_i = \lambda_i\mathbf{x}_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq n).$$

There is an orthogonal $n \times n$ matrix P such that

$$P^T B P = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n).$$

Here P is the matrix with respective columns \mathbf{x}_i . Then the $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$ matrix Q given by

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} P & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

has the property that

$$Q^T A Q = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_1 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \dots & 0 & a_1 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_n & a_n \\ a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_n & a_{n+1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

In fact we note that $Q^T A Q$ is again symmetric. We have that

$$\text{Det}(Q^T A Q) = (\text{Det } Q)^{-1} (\text{Det } A) (\text{Det } Q) = \text{Det } A = D_n > 0$$

from the rules of manipulation of determinants. We also have that a determinant does not change when we add a scalar multiple of one column to another column. In our case, this gives

$$\text{Det} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_n & 0 \\ a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_n & a_{n+1} - \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i^2 / \lambda_i) \end{bmatrix} > 0$$

The preceding determinant is

$$\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n \left(a_{n+1} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a_i^2}{\lambda_i} \right).$$

Since the λ_i are strictly positive, we get that

$$a_{n+1} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a_i^2}{\lambda_i} > 0.$$

We now show that $Q^T A Q$ is positive definite. We have that $\mathbf{y}^T Q^T A Q \mathbf{y}$

$$\begin{aligned} &= (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n, y_{n+1}) \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_1 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \dots & 0 & a_1 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_n & a_n \\ a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_n & a_{n+1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \dots \\ y_n \\ y_{n+1} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= (\lambda_1 y_1 + a_1 y_{n+1}, \lambda_2 y_2 + a_2 y_{n+1}, \dots, \lambda_n y_n + a_n y_{n+1}, \sum_{i=1}^n a_i y_i) \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \dots \\ y_n \\ y_{n+1} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= (\lambda_1 y_1 + a_1 y_{n+1}) y_1 + \dots + (\lambda_n y_n + a_n y_{n+1}) y_n + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i y_i \right) y_{n+1} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n 2 a_i y_i y_{n+1} + a_{n+1} y_{n+1}^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\lambda_i a_i^2 + 2 a_i y_i y_{n+1} + \frac{a_i^2}{\lambda_i} y_{n+1}^2 \right) + \left(a_{n+1} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a_i^2}{\lambda_i} \right) y_{n+1}^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\lambda_i^{1/2} y_i + \frac{a_i}{\lambda_i^{1/2}} y_{n+1} \right)^2 + \left(a_{n+1} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a_i^2}{\lambda_i} \right) y_{n+1}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now we see that $\mathbf{y} \neq 0$ implies that

$$\mathbf{y}^T Q^T A Q \mathbf{y} > 0.$$

In fact, if $y_{n+1} \neq 0$, this is clear from the preceding expression. In $y_{n+1} = 0$, then we get that

$$\mathbf{y}^T Q^T A Q \mathbf{y} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i^2 > 0.$$

So we have that

$$\mathbf{z}^T A \mathbf{z} = (\mathbf{z}^T Q) Q^T A Q (Q^T \mathbf{z}) > 0$$

for every $\mathbf{z} \neq 0$. The induction step is now complete.

The converse of part 1 is clear. In fact, suppose A is a positive definite $n \times n$ matrix and that $1 \leq m < n$; then

$$(x_1, \dots, x_m, 0, \dots, 0)^T A (x_1, \dots, x_m, 0, \dots, 0) > 0$$

for every nonzero vector $(x_1, \dots, x_m, 0, \dots, 0)$. This means that the $m \times m$ submatrix of A consisting of the first

m rows and columns of A is positive definite and thus has a strictly positive determinant.

PROOF 2). The matrix C is negative definite matrix if and only if the matrix $A = -C$ is positive definite. We have that A is positive definite if and only if D_1, \dots, D_n are strictly positive where the D_i are the determinants of the principal minors of A. But we have that

$$D_i = (-1)^i \text{Det } C_i$$

where C_i is the i^{th} principal minor of C. This gives the statement in (2).

COROLLARY. Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be a symmetric 2×2 matrix. Then

- 1) A is positive definite if $a_{11} > 0$ and $a_{11}a_{22} - (a_{12})^2 > 0$;
- 2) A is negative definite if $a_{11} < 0$ and $a_{11}a_{22} - (a_{12})^2 > 0$; and
- 3) there are nonzero vectors \mathbf{v}_1 and \mathbf{v}_2 in \mathbb{R}^2 with $\mathbf{v}_1^T A \mathbf{v}_1 > 0$ and $\mathbf{v}_2^T A \mathbf{v}_2 < 0$ if $a_{11}a_{22} - (a_{12})^2 < 0$.

PROOF. Part (3) states that A is nonsingular but neither positive or negative definite, viz., A has eigenvalues λ_1 with $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 < 0$.